

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/809,885	03/16/2001	John L. Margrave	11321-P026US	7715
47744	7590 04/10/2006		EXAM	INER
ROSS SPENCER GARSSON			HENDRICKSON, STUART L	
P. O. BOX 50	SECHREST & MINICK P. 0784	С.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DALLAS, T	X 75201		1754	
			DATE MAILED: 04/10/2000	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/809,885	MARGRAVE ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Stuart Hendrickson	1754	
The MAILING DATE of this communication eriod for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence add	ress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by s Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNI FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI statute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this con BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	30 January 2006.		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for all	owance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the	merits is
closed in accordance with the practice und	der <i>Ex par</i> te Quayle, 1935 C.[D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims	and 80-86, 88-93,95,96		
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>52,54-58,60-62,64-68,70-72 and</u> 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>52,60-62,64-66,70-72,74,75 and</u> 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. ← 54-58 ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	ndrawn from consideration. MA 88-93, 95,96 80-86, is/are rejected. 8, 6, 6, 6, 7, 6, 76-78,	ppileation.	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) ☐ objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the continuous The oath or declaration is objected to by the	•	•	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docur		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority docur2. Certified copies of the priority docur		Application No.	
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the			stage
application from the International Bu	•	reconved in this realisman	, lugo
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	received.	
	·		
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6) Other: ____.

Application/Control Number: 09/809,885

Art Unit: 1754

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action. The RCE filed 4/13/05 is accepted.

Claims 52, 70-72, 74, 75, 80-86, 88-93, 95, 96 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Chen et al. 'Chemical attachment..'.

Chen teaches on the next-to-last page a Birch reduction experiment which appears to possess the claimed functional loading, given the elemental analysis.

Applicant's arguments filed 1/30/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant should provide data to show that the elemental analysis is wrong; it appears best to duplicate the reference and characterize the product. The specification does not indicate elevated temperature is required for functionalization, so arguments concerning the nature of the bonding are speculative. A copy of the Chen reference would be helpful. Note that the claims still recite amino; the rejection was not predicated upon hydrogen substituents.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to examiner Hendrickson at telephone number (571) 272-1351.

Stuart Hendrickson examiner Art Unit 1754